

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

SUSIE LUERA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD’S,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:17-cv-2066

**DEFENDANT ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD’S
NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd’s in Cause No. 2017- 29943, pending in the 269th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, files this Notice of Removal from that court to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, on the basis of diversity of citizenship and amount in controversy and respectfully shows:

**I.
FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

1.1 On or about May 4, 2017, Plaintiff filed Plaintiff’s Original Petition, Request for Disclosure, Request for Production, Request for Admissions and Interrogatories (“Plaintiff’s Original Petition”) in the matter styled *Susie Luera v. Allstate Texas Lloyd’s*, Cause No. 2017-29943, pending in the 269th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas, in which Plaintiff made a claim for damages to her home under a homeowner’s insurance policy with Allstate Texas Lloyd’s.

1.2 Plaintiff served Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's ("Allstate") with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on June 6, 2017 by certified mail on its registered agent, CT Corporation System.

1.3 Simultaneously with the filing of this notice of removal, attached hereto as Exhibit "A" is the Index of State Court Documents that clearly identifies each document and indicates the date the document was filed in state court. Attached as Exhibit "B" is a copy of the docket listing and all documents filed in the state court action are attached as Exhibits "B-1" through Exhibit "B-5" as identified on the Index of State Court Documents.

II. BASIS FOR REMOVAL

2.1 Defendant files this notice of removal within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's Original Petition. *See* 28 U.S.C. §1446(b). This Notice of Removal is being filed within one year of the commencement of this action. *See id.*

2.2 Removal is proper based upon diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(a)(1), 1441(a), and 1446.

A. THE PARTIES ARE OF DIVERSE CITIZENSHIP.

2.3 Plaintiff is, and was at the time the lawsuit was filed, a natural person and resident of Harris County in the State of Texas and thus, is a citizen of Texas. *See* Plaintiff's Original Petition, ¶ 2. On information and belief, Plaintiff intends to continue residing in Texas and is thus domiciled in Texas. *See Hollinger v. Home State Mut. Ins. Co.*, 654 F.3d 564, 571 (5th Cir. 2011) (evidence of a person's place of residence is prima facie proof of his state of domicile, which presumptively continues unless rebutted with sufficient evidence of change).

2.4 Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's was, and at the date of this Notice, remains an association of underwriters whose individual underwriters are all residents and citizens of the States of Illinois and New Jersey.¹

B. THE AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY EXCEEDS THE JURISDICTIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION.

2.5 In determining the amount in controversy, the court may consider "policy limits... penalties, statutory damages, and punitive damages." *St. Paul Reinsurance Co., Ltd. v. Greenberg*, 134 F.3d 1250, 1253 (5th Cir. 1998); *see Ray v. State Farm Lloyds*, No. CIV.A.3:98-CV-1288-G, 1999 WL 151667, at * 2-3 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 1999) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy in plaintiff's case against their insurance company for breach of contract, fraud, negligence, gross negligence, bad faith, violations of the Texas Insurance Code, violations of the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, and mental anguish); *Fairmont Travel, Inc. v. George S. May Int'l Co.*, 75 F. Supp.2d 666, 668 (S.D. Tex. 1999) (considering DTPA claims and the potential for recovery of punitive damages for the amount in controversy determination); *Chittick v. Farmers Ins. Exch.*, 844 F. Supp. 1153, 1155 (S.D. Tex. 1994) (finding a sufficient amount in controversy after considering the nature of the claims, the types of damages sought and the presumed net worth of the defendant in a claim brought by the insureds against their insurance company for actual and punitive damages arising from a claim they made for roof damages).

2.6 This is a civil action in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant is liable under a residential insurance policy because Plaintiff made a claim under that policy and Defendant wrongfully adjusted and denied Plaintiff's claim.

2.7 Plaintiff has specifically pled that she is seeking monetary relief over \$100,000, but not more than \$200,000. *See* Plaintiffs' Original Petition, ¶ 9.1.

¹ *See Royal Ins. Co. v. Quinn-L Capital Corp.*, 3 F.3d 877, 882-884 (5th Cir. 1993), *cert. denied*, 522 U.S. 815 (1997); *see also Massey v. State Farm Lloyds Ins. Co.*, 993 F. Supp. 568, 570 (S.D. Tex. 1998).

2.8 This evidence clearly demonstrates that the amount in controversy in this case exceeds the jurisdictional requirements.

III.
THE REMOVAL IS PROCEDURALLY CORRECT

3.1 Defendant Allstate was served with Plaintiff's Original Petition and process on June 6, 2017. This notice of removal is filed within the 30-day time period required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

3.2 Venue is proper in this District and Division under 28 U.S.C. §1446(a) because this District and Division include the county in which the state action has been pending and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims allegedly occurred in this District and Division.

3.3 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(a), all pleadings, process, orders, and all other filings in the state court action are attached to this Notice.

3.4 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), promptly after Defendant files this Notice, written notice of the filing will be given to Plaintiffs, the adverse party.

3.5 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with the Clerk of the Harris County District Court, promptly after Defendant files this Notice.

IV.
CONCLUSION

4.1 Based upon the foregoing, the exhibits submitted in support of this Removal and other documents filed contemporaneously with this Notice of Removal and fully incorporated herein by reference, Defendant Allstate Texas Lloyd's hereby removes this case to this Court for trial and determination.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Marilyn Cayce

Roger D. Higgins
State Bar No. 09601500
THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.
Plaza of the Americas
700 N. Pearl Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor
Dallas, Texas 75201-2832
Telephone: (214) 871-8200
Telecopy: (214) 871-8209
rhiggins@thompsoncoe.com

and

Marilyn S. Cayce
State Bar No. 17705500
THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.
One Riverway, Suite 1400
Houston, Texas 77056
Telephone: (713) 403-8216
Telecopy: (713) 403-8299
mcayce@thompsoncoe.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
ALLSTATE TEXAS LLOYD'S**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on July 6, 2017, a copy of this document was served to all Counsel of Record via electronic notice:

The Corona Law Firm
Jesse S. Corona
jesse@thecoronalawfirm.com
521 N Sam Houston Pkwy E, Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77060
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

/s/ Marilyn Cayce
Marilyn S. Cayce